ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA614018 07/07/2014

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Notice of Opposition

Notice is hereby given that the following parties oppose registration of the indicated application.

Opposers Information

Name	Unilever PLC
Granted to Date of previous extension	07/06/2014
Address	Port Sunlight Wirral Merseyside England, CH62 4ZD UNITED KINGDOM

Name	Unilever N.V.
Granted to Date of previous extension	07/06/2014
Address	Weena 455 Rotterdam, 3013 AL NETHERLANDS

Name	Conopco, Inc.
Granted to Date of previous extension	07/06/2014
Address	700 Sylvan Avenue Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632 UNITED STATES

Correspondence information	Conopco, Inc. 700 Sylvan Avenue Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632 UNITED STATES lisa.rosaya@bakermckenzie.com, nyctrademarks@bakermckenzie.com
----------------------------	---

Applicant Information

Application No	86087051	Publication date	01/07/2014
Opposition Filing Date	07/07/2014	Opposition Period Ends	07/06/2014
Applicant	V-Contact, LLC 3126 John P Curci Drive, Bay 4 Pembroke Pines, FL 33009 UNITED STATES		

Goods/Services Affected by Opposition

Class 003. First Use: 0 First Use In Commerce: 0
--

All goods and services in the class are opposed, namely: Cleaning preparations for household purposes; Detergents for household use

Grounds for Opposition

False suggestion of a connection	Trademark Act section 2(a)
Priority and likelihood of confusion	Trademark Act section 2(d)
Dilution	Trademark Act section 43(c)

Mark Cited by Opposer as Basis for Opposition

U.S. Application/ Registration No.	NONE	Application Date	NONE
Registration Date	NONE		
Word Mark	CIF		
Goods/Services	household cleaning products		

Attachments	Notice of Opposition vs. CIF App. No. 86087051-1277655-v1-NYCDMS.pdf(81050 bytes)
-------------	--

Certificate of Service

The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of this paper has been served upon all parties, at their address record by First Class Mail on this date.

Signature	/lwr/
Name	Lisa W. Rosaya
Date	07/07/2014

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Conopco, Inc.,) Opposition No.:
Unilever N.V. and)
Unilever PLC) Serial No.: 86087051
Opposers,) Mark: CIF
V.)
)
V-Contact, LLC)
)
Applicant.	

NOTICE OF OPPOSITION

Conopco, Inc., Unilever N.V. and Unilever PLC ("Opposers") believe they will be damaged and injured by the registration of the mark CIF for "[c]leaning preparations for household purposes; [d]etergents for household use," in International Class 3 ("Applicant's Goods"), as shown in Application Serial No. 86087051 (hereinafter the "Application") filed on October 9, 2013 by V-Contact, LLC (hereinafter "Applicant"), and published for opposition on January 7, 2014 in the Official Gazette for Trademarks. Opposers hereby opposes registration of the Application.

Opposers allege, solely for the purpose of this proceeding, as grounds for opposition, the following:

1. Opposers and their predecessors and affiliates, either directly or through authorized third party retailers, have sold for a number of years and continue to sell a number of household cleaning products including but not limited to sprays or creams for cleaning kitchens, bathrooms and various household appliances ("Opposers' Goods") under the CIF mark in U.S. commerce.

- 2. Applicant, by virtue of its Application, which is based upon an intent-to-use the applied-for mark in U.S. commerce, seeks registration of the mark CIF for "[c]leaning preparations for household purposes; [d] etergents for household use," in International Class 3.
- 3. Opposers' use of its **CIF** mark predates the October 9, 2013 filing date of Applicant's intent-to-use Application. Thus, Opposers' rights in its **CIF** mark are senior to any purported rights of Applicant in the mark CIF.
- 4. As a result of Opposers' advertising and promotional efforts, as well as its prominent and continuous use of its CIF mark, Opposers' CIF mark has become widely recognized by Opposers' customers and the purchasing public as being associated with Opposers' high quality goods and has become synonymous with the goodwill and reputation of Opposers. By virtue of the forgoing, Opposers' CIF mark has become and is famous and is therefore entitled to a high degree and wide zone of protection.
- 5. Opposers' **CIF** mark became famous well prior to October 9, 2013, the filing date of Applicant's Application.
- 6. Applicant's CIF mark which is the subject of the opposed Application is identical and therefore confusingly similar to Opposers' CIF mark.
- 7. Applicant's Goods which are to be sold under the CIF mark are identical to Opposers' Goods which are sold under the CIF mark.
- 8. Upon information and belief, Opposers' Goods and Applicant's Goods are likely to be offered, promoted and sold to the same class of purchasers.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Opposers' Goods and Applicant's Goods are likely to be offered, promoted or sold in the same channels of trade.

- 10. Due to the identical nature of the respective parties' marks and goods at issue, Applicant's alleged CIF mark falsely suggests a connection with Opposers, *e.g.*, that Opposers sponsor, approve or endorse Applicant's Goods, that Applicant is authorized, licensed or controlled by Opposers, or that Applicant is a division or subsidiary of, or in some way related to Opposers, which is not the case. Accordingly, Applicant's alleged mark "[c]onsists of ... matter which may disparage or falsely suggest a connection with [Opposers] ... or bring them into contempt, or disrepute." 15 U.S.C. § 1052 (a).
- 11. Due to the identical nature of the respective parties' marks and goods at issue, Opposers believe and allege that Applicant's alleged mark, when applied to the Applicant's Goods, is likely to cause confusion or mistake or to deceive, and will deceive and mislead the purchasing public into believing that Opposers are the source of Applicant's Goods. Accordingly, Applicant's alleged mark "so resembles a mark ... previously used in the United States by another and not abandoned, as to be likely, when applied to the goods of the applicant, to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive." 15 U.S.C. § 1052(d).
- Applicant's alleged mark and Opposers' famous CIF mark will create an association with Opposers' famous CIF mark "that impairs the distinctiveness of ... [Opposers'] famous mark" 15 U.S.C. § 1125 (c)(2)(B). Accordingly, Applicant's alleged mark will lead to dilution by blurring with respect to Opposers' mark.
- Applicant's alleged mark and Opposers' famous **CIF** mark will "harm the reputation of ... [Opposers'] famous mark. 15 U.S.C. § 1125 (c)(2)(C). Accordingly, Applicant's alleged mark will lead to dilution by tarnishment with respect to Opposers' mark.

14. If Applicant is permitted to register its alleged mark captioned above in

connection with Applicant's Goods, a likelihood of consumer confusion and dilution of

Opposers' CIF mark resulting in damage and injury to Opposers would be caused and would

result by reason of the identical nature of Applicant's alleged mark and Opposers' CIF mark.

Furthermore, any misrepresentation, objection or fault found with Applicant's Goods promoted

or sold under its alleged mark would necessarily reflect on and seriously injure the reputation

that Opposers have established through use of the CIF mark.

15. If Applicant is granted registration of its alleged CIF mark, it would thereby

obtain at least a prima facie exclusive right to use its alleged mark. This would be a source of

further damage and injury to Opposers.

16. For the reasons set forth in the foregoing paragraphs, Applicant is not entitled to

register its alleged CIF mark and the Application should be refused registration in accordance

with Sections 2(a), 2(d) and 43(c) of the Lanham Act.

WHEREFORE, Opposers pray that Application Serial No. 86087051 be rejected, that

registration of Applicant's alleged mark shown therein be refused and that this Opposition be

sustained in favor of Opposers.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /lwr/

Lisa W. Rosaya

BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP

452 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10018

Tel: (212) 626-4557

Fax: (212) 310-1659

Attorneys for Opposers

Date: July 7, 2014

4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing **NOTICE OF OPPOSITION** was served via First Class mail on Attorney for Applicant, David L. Sigalow, Esq., Allen, Dyer, Doppelt, Milbrath & Gilchrist, P.A., 255 S. Orange Ave., Ste. 1401, Orlando, Florida 32801-3460 on this 6th day of July, 2014.

Sonia Allahdad